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#### Abstract

The generalized t-pebbling number of a graph $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{G})$, is the least positive integer $n$ such that however $n$ pebbles are placed on the vertices of $G$, we can move t-pebbles to any vertex by a sequence of moves, each move taking p pebbles off one vertex and placing one on an adjacent vertex. In this paper, we determine the generalized $t$-pebbling number of wheel $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and complete r-partite graph.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a simple connected graph. The pebbling number of $G$ is the smallest number $f(G)$ such that however these $f(G)$ pebbles are placed on the vertices of $G$,
we can move a pebble to any vertex by a sequence of moves, each move taking two pebbles off one vertex and placing one on an adjacent vertex [2]. Suppose $n$ pebbles are distributed on to the vertices of a graph $G$, a generalized $p$ pebbling step $[u, v]$ consists of removing p pebbles from a vertex u , and then placing one pebble on an adjacent vertex v , for any $\mathrm{p} \geq 2$. Is it possible to move a pebble to a root vertex r , if we can repeatedly apply generalized p pebbling steps? It is answered in the affirmative by Chung in [1]. The generalized pebbling number of a vertex v in a graph G is the smallest number $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gl}}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{G})$ with the property that from every placement of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gl}}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{G})$ pebbles on G , it is possible to move a pebble to v by a sequence of pebbling move consists of removing p pebbles from a vertex and placing one pebble on an adjacent vertex. The generalized pebbling number of the graph G , denoted by $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gl}}(\mathrm{G})$, is the maximum $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gl}}(\mathrm{G})$ over all vertices $v$ in G .

Again the generalized t-pebbling number of a vertex v in a graph G is the smallest number $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{G})$ with the property that from every placement of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{G}\}$ pebbles on $G$, it is possible to move $t$ pebbles to $v$ by a sequence of pebbling moves where a pebbling move consists of the removal of $p$ pebbles from a vertex and the placement of one of these pebbles on an adjacent vertex. The generalized t-pebbling number of the graph $G$, denoted by $f_{g l t}(G)$ is the maximum $f_{g l t}(v, G)$ over all vertices $v$ of $G$. Throughout this paper $G$ denotes a simple connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$.
$\lfloor x\rfloor$ denote the largest integer less than or equal to $x$ and $\lceil x\rceil$ denote the smallest integer greater than or equal to x .

## 2 Known Results

We find the following results with regard to the generalized pebbling numbers of graph in $[2,6]$ and their generalized $t$-pebbling numbers in [3].

Theorem 2.1. For a complete graph $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{g} 1}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=(\mathrm{p}-1) \mathrm{n}-(\mathrm{p}-2)$ where $\mathrm{p} \geq 2$.
Theorem 2.2. For a path of length $n, f_{g l}\left(P_{n}\right)=p^{n}$ where $p \geq 2$.
Theorem 2.3. For a star $K_{1, n}, f_{g 1}\left(K_{1, n}\right)=(p-1) n+\left(p^{2}-2 p+2\right)$ if $n>1$ and $p \geq 2$.
Theorem 2.4. The generalized t-pebbling number for a path of length n is $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{tp}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

Theorem 2.5. The generalized t-pebbling number of a complete graph on n vertices where $n \geq 3, p \geq 2$ is $f_{g l t}\left(K_{n}\right)=p t+(p-1)(n-2)$.

Theorem 2.6. The generalized t-pebbling number for a star $K_{1, n}$ where $n>1$ is $\mathrm{f}_{\text {glt }}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)$ where $\mathrm{p} \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.7. For $n \geq 4$, the generalized pebbling number of the wheel graph $W_{n}$ is $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gl}}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=(\mathrm{p}-1)+\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}-2 \mathrm{p}+1\right)$ where $\mathrm{p} \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.8. The generalized pebbling number of the fan graph $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gl}}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=(\mathrm{p}-$ 1) $n+\left(p^{2}-2 p+1\right)$.

Theorem 2.9. For $G=K_{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{\mathrm{r}}}$ the generalized pebbling number is given by $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gl}}(\mathrm{G})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{p}^{2}+(p-1)\left(s_{1}-2\right) \quad \text { if } p \geq n-s_{1} \\ p+(p-1)(n-2) \quad \text { if } p<n-s_{1}\end{array}\right.$.

We will now proceed to compute the genearlized t-pebbling numbers of wheel $W_{n}$ and complete r -partite graph.

## 3 Computation of Genearlized t-pebbling number

Definition 3.1. We define the wheel graph denoted by $W_{n}$ to be the graph with $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ where h is called the hub of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cup\left\{\mathrm{hv}_{1}\right.$, $\left.h v_{2}, \ldots, h v_{n}\right\}$ where $C_{n}$ denotes the cycle graph on $n$ vertices.

Theorem 3.2. Let $K_{1}=\{h\}$. Let $C_{n}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ be a cycle of length $n$. Then the generalized $t$-pebbling number of the wheel graph $W_{n}$ is $f_{g l t}\left(W_{n}\right)=p^{2}(t-1)+(p-$ 1) $n+\left(p^{2}-2 p+1\right)$.

Proof : By Theorem 2.5, $\mathrm{f}_{\text {glt }}\left(\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-1)$. Let us now find the generalized $t$-pebbling number of $v_{1}$. Assume that $v_{1}$ has zero pebbles. Let us place $\left(p^{2} t-1\right)$ pebbles at $v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}$, $\mathrm{p}-2$ ) pebbles at $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and (p-1) pebbles at each of $\left.\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} \backslash \mathrm{v}_{1}, v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$. Then $t$ pebbles cannot be moved to $\mathrm{v}_{1}$.

So $\mathrm{f}_{\text {glt }}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \geq \mathrm{p}^{2}(\mathrm{t}-1)+(\mathrm{p}-1) \mathrm{n}+\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}-2 \mathrm{p}+1\right)$.
Let us use induction on t to prove the $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, W_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \mathrm{p}^{2}(\mathrm{t}-1)+(\mathrm{p}-1) \mathrm{n}+\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}-2 \mathrm{p}+1\right)$.
For $\mathrm{t}=1$, the result is true by Theorem 2.7.

By distributing $\mathrm{p}^{2}(\mathrm{~m}-2)+(\mathrm{p}-1) \mathrm{n}+\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}-2 \mathrm{p}+1\right)$ pebbles on $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}\right\}$, then we can move $(\mathrm{m}-1)$ pebbles to the target vertex $\mathrm{v}_{1}$.

That is, $f_{g(m-1)}\left(W_{n}\right)=p^{2}(m-2)+(p-1) n+\left(p^{2}-2 p+1\right)$. Suppose $p^{2}(m-1)+(p-1) n+\left(p^{2}-2 p+1\right)$ pebbles are distributed on to the vertices of $W_{n} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}\right\}$. Let the target vertex be $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ of C ${ }_{n}$.

If there is a vertex in $C_{n}$ with at least $p^{2}$ pebbles, then a pebble can be moved to $v_{1}$. Using only $p^{2}$ pebbles through $h$. The remaining $p^{2}(m-2)+(p-1) n+\left(p^{2}-2 p+1\right)$ pebbles are sufficient to put ( $\mathrm{m}-1$ ) additional pebbles on $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ by using induction. Otherwise any one of the vertices of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}\right\}$ say $v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}$ receive at least p pebbles and each of the vertices $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}\right\}$ receive $\mathrm{p}-1$ pebbles then from $v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}$ using a sequence of
pebbling moves, $v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}, v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{1}$ we can move a pebble to $\mathrm{v}_{1}$. Remaining $\mathrm{p}^{2}+(\mathrm{p}-1)$ $\left(\mathrm{n}-\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+2\right)+\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}-3 \mathrm{p}+1\right)>0$. So by induction, $(\mathrm{m}-1)$ pebbles can be moved to $\mathrm{v}_{1}$. Hence in all cases $f_{\mathrm{glm}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \mathrm{p}^{2}(m-1)+(\mathrm{p}-1) \mathrm{n}+\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}-2 \mathrm{p}+1\right)$. Therefore $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{p}^{2}(\mathrm{~m}-$ $1)+(p-1) n+\left(p^{2}-2 p+1\right)$.

Definition 3.3. A graph $G=(V, E)$ is called an r-partite graph if $V$ can be partitioned into $r$ non-empty subsets $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{r}$ such that no edge of $G$ joins vertices in the same set. The sets $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{r}$ are called partite sets or vertex classes of $G$. If $G$ is an r-partite graph having partite sets $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{r}$ such that every vertex of $V_{i}$ is joined to every vertex of $V_{j}$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ and $i \neq j$, then $G$ is called a complete $r$ partite graph. If $\left|V_{i}\right|=s_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$ then we denote $G$ by $K_{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}}$.

Notation 3.4. For $s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \ldots \geq s_{r}, s_{1}>1$ and if $r=2, s_{2}>1$, let $K_{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}}$ be the complete r-partitle graph with $\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{r}}$ vertices in vertex classes $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}$ respectively. Let $\mathrm{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} s_{i}$.

Theorem 3.5. For $G=K_{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}}$ the generalized t-pebbling number for a complete $r$ partite graph G is given by

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{G})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p t+(p-1)(n-2) \quad \text { if } p t<n-s_{1} \\
\mathrm{p}^{2} t+(p-1)\left(s_{1}-2\right) \quad \text { if } p t \geq n-s_{1}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

## Proof :

Case i: Assume pt <n- $\mathrm{s}_{1}$.

Let us place $\mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)-1$ pebbles on the vertices of $\mathrm{G}-\{\mathrm{v}\}$ as follows. Let us choose ( $\mathrm{t}-1$ ) vertices and we place $\mathrm{p}+(\mathrm{p}-1)$ pebbles on each of the $(\mathrm{t}-1)$ vertices and we place ( $\mathrm{p}-1$ ) pebbles each on the remaining vertices clearly t pebbles cannot be moved to v .

Hence $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{G})>(\mathrm{t}-1)[(\mathrm{p}+(\mathrm{p}-1)]+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)-1 \\
& \geq \mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we will use induction to show that $\mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)$ pebbles are sufficient to move $t$ pebbles to any desired vertex. For $t=1$ results is true by Theorem 2.9. Suppose $t>$ $\mathrm{s}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)$ pebbles are placed on the vertices of G. Let the target vertex be $v$ of $C_{k}$ for some $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. If there is a vertex $w$ of $C_{j}(j \neq k)$ with at least $p$ pebbles then a pebble can be placed on $v$.

The remaining $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t}-1)+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)$ pebbles are sufficient to put $(\mathrm{t}-1)$ additional pebbles on $v$ by induction. If not then every vertex of ${\mathrm{G} \backslash \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ wil have at most $(\mathrm{p}-1)$ pebbles on it. Suppose among these $n-s_{k}$ vertices, $q$ is the number of vertices with at least one pebble. Therefore there will be $\mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)-\mathrm{q}$ pebbles on the vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$. We consider the following cases.

Subcase I: $\mathrm{q} \geq \mathrm{t}$.

We use pebbling move from $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}-1$ vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \backslash\{\mathrm{v}\}$ to put the remaining at most (p1) pebbles on each of the $t$ of the $q$ occupied vertices of $v(G)-C_{k}$. Using ( $\left.p-1\right) t$ pebbles we can pebble $t$ vertices with ( $\mathrm{p}-1$ ) pebbles. Then remaining $(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)-(\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{t})$ pebbles are in $C_{k} \backslash\{v\}$. From the $t$ vertices with $p$ pebbles we can move $t$ pebbles to $v$.

Subcase ii : q < t.
As in subcase (i) first we will put ( $\mathrm{p}-1$ ) more pebbles on each of these q vertices by maiing $(p-1) q$ moves from the vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \backslash\{\mathrm{v}\}$ in order to put q pebbles on v . Then we have to place $t-q$ additional pebbles on $v$. So we use $p^{2}(t-q)+(p-1) p q=p^{2} t-p q$ pebbles among $p t+(p-1)(n-2)-q$ pebbles in the vertices of $C_{k} \backslash\{v\}$. Hence in all the cases $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{G}) \leq \mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)$.

Case ii: Assume $\mathrm{pt} \geq \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}_{1}$.

Let the vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ be $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and let $v_{s_{1}}$ be the target vertex. Let us place $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}+(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\mathrm{s} \_1-2\right)$ pebbles on the vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ as follows. Let us place $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}-1$ pebbles on $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ and place ( $\mathrm{p}-1$ ) pebbles each on ( $\mathrm{s}_{1}-2$ ) vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ other than $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ and $v_{s_{1}}$. In this case t-pebbles cannot be moved to $v_{s_{1}}$. Hence $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{G}) \geq \mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}+(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}-2\right)$.

Next we will use induction on t to prove that $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}+(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}-2\right)$ pebbles are sufficient to put t pebbles on any desired vertex clearly the claim is true for $\mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}_{1}$.

Since by case(i) $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{pt}+(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\mathrm{pt}+\mathrm{s}_{1}-2\right) \\
& =\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}+(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}-2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{m}-1)>\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gl}(\mathrm{m}-1)}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{m}-1)+(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}-2\right)=\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~m}+(\mathrm{p}-1) \mathrm{s}_{1}-$ $\left(p^{2}+2 p+2\right)$.

We prove the result is true for $m$ where $p m>n-s_{1}$. Suppoe $p^{2} m+(p-1)\left(s_{1}-2\right)$ pebbles are distributed on the vertices of $G$. Let the target vertex be $v$ of $C_{k}$. If there is a vertex in some $C_{j}(j \neq k)$ with at least $p$ pebbles, then a pebble can be placed on $v$
using only $p$ pebbles. The remaining $p^{2} m+(p-1) s_{1}-3 p+2$ pebbles are sufficient to put $(\mathrm{m}-1)$ additional pebbles on v , since $\mathrm{p}^{2}+2 \mathrm{p}-2-3 \mathrm{p}+2>0$. If not then every vertex of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}$ will contain either zero or at least one pebble on it. If there is a vertex say w in some $C_{j}(j \neq k)$ with at least one pebble on it, we use ( $p-1$ )p pebbles from the vertices of $C_{k}$ to put ( $p-1$ ) pebbles on $w$ and hence a pebble can be placed on $v$. Since $p^{2}+2 p-$ $2-(p-1)(p+3)>0$, then remaining $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gl}(\mathrm{m}-1)}(\mathrm{G})$ pebbles would suffice to put $(\mathrm{m}-1)$ additional pebbles on $v$. Otherwise, every vertex of $G \backslash C_{k}$ will have zero pebbles, using $\mathrm{p}^{2}$ pebbles we can place a pebble on v in this case the remaining $\mathrm{p}^{2}(\mathrm{~m}-1)+(\mathrm{p}-$ $1)\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}-2\right)$ pebbles would suffice to put $(\mathrm{m}-1)$ additional pebbles on v . Thus $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glm}}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{G}) \leq$ $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~m}+(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}-2\right)$. Therefore by induction $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{G}) \leq \mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}+(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}-2\right)$ for all $\mathrm{pt}<\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}_{1}$. Thus $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{glt}}(\mathrm{G})<\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{t}+(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}-2\right)$ for all $\mathrm{pt} \geq \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}_{1}$ and so the proof is over.
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